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Aging is a significant concern in Lithium-ion Batteries (LIBs), especially in the application to electric vehicles (EVs) and hybrid EVs (HEVs) because of the requirement of cell durability [1,2]. Battery aging is caused by the material degradation of cell components and the introduction of new components by side reactions. It is well known that electrolyte decomposition, which is linked to the side reactions at electrode surfaces, yields a passivation film...
This film, referred as the solid electrolyte interface (SEI), suppresses further lithium corrosion and solvent decomposition [3–5]. Long-term stability of the SEI would have a prime impact on capacity retention, internal resistance and cyclability of LIBs. It has been reported that the long-term degradation of large-scale LIBs is caused by the formation and continuous growth of the SEI layer [6,7]. In spite of numerous research efforts, fundamental understanding of the SEI composition, stability, and its influence on battery performance is still controversial, and has been a focus of many researches over the past decade [9–13].

Developing appropriate laboratory aging tests to analyze the relation between SEI formation and cell aging is challenging. SEI growth involves a number of simultaneous physicochemical processes and their interactions [7,14–16]. Theoretical modeling and simulations are very useful to provide insight into the effects of SEI. Mathematical models have been developed to simulate the growth of SEI and lithium transport in the compact layer of SEI [9,17–19].

Advances in the understanding of SEI layer formation and growth call for a model to account for new observations. Research works suggest that the reductive electrolyte decomposition is accompanied by irreversible lithium ion consumption at the electrode/electrolyte interface. The products from decomposition and side reactions build up and form the SEI layer [7]. Some recent studies show that the SEI layer on the negative electrode material is composed of two distinct layers: a compact polycrystalline or heteromicrophase layer of inorganic products (e.g., Li₂CO₃, LiF, Li₂O, etc) and a porous amorphous outer layer composed of partially reduced organic products (e.g., (CH₂OCO₂Li)₂, ROLi, ROCO₂Li, etc) [20–26]. It is suggested that the outer layer of the SEI layer, whose pores are filled with electrolyte, controls the progress of SEI growth, and consequently the extent of capacity fade and internal resistance rise. The outer layer can be a couple of order of magnitudes thicker than the inner layer, while at the same time the outer layer is more vulnerable and unstable [23,27–29]. A recent work investigated the diffusion phenomena in the SEI porous layer and its growth [13]. However, the model was for a single particle.

SEI growth depends on the electron current flow and lithium diffusion, which vary at different locations in the electrode. A cell-level physics-based SEI growth model is necessary to reveal this spatial distribution of SEI and evaluate its effect on cell performance. Moreover, it is important to integrate the temperature effect in the cell-level model since it directly influences the material properties such as diffusivity and side reaction rates. Temperature is also of interest for the study of thermal runaway which is closely related to the safety of LIBs. Several cell-level thermal-electrochemical models were developed to investigate the temperature distribution and its effect on cell performance, however, SEI layer was either neglected or simplified as constant resistance in the battery system [30–32]. Thermal effect majorly impacts the side reaction for SEI formation and growth in the cell, while the thermal behavior of LIBs is in turn determined by the chemical and electrochemical processes occurring inside the cell during cycling [30]. Therefore, the SEI growth analysis needs to be coupled with the thermal effect.

In this paper a cell-level physics-based model is developed with the consideration of multiple key electrochemical processes, particularly the side reaction for continuous SEI growth and the thermal effect. The model has the potential to provide insights into the mechanisms related to capacity and power loss, as well as to reveal their effects on electric potential and Li ion concentration distribution. By considering solvent transport through the SEI porous layer [13], the model can capture lithium-ion diffusion and side reaction kinetics inside the layer. The model would also enable us to predict position-dependent SEI growth, providing important guidance for monitoring locations. In addition, this model considers the effect of SEI compact layer on lithium ion diffusion. SEI layer formation and growth are quite temperature-dependent. By incorporation of thermal energy conservation, the interaction between SEI layer growth and temperature, as well as their coupled effects on battery performance can be described. The model also considers double-layer capacity [33,34], which allows future electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) simulation. EIS simulation is a powerful tool to interpret Li ion and electron migration mechanisms, interfacial phenomenon, and failure mechanisms [35].

![Fig. 1. A schematic showing the SEI layer, double-layer capacity and thermal effect in a lithium ion battery.](image-url)
2. Model development

Fig. 1 shows a schematic of the SEI layer, double-layer capacity and thermal effect in a typical cell consisting of the current collector, anode, cathode, separator, and electrolyte solution. The SEI layer on the anode is much thicker compared to that on the cathode, which causes more rise in cell internal resistance and more capacity loss [36]. Therefore, here we focus on the SEI layer growth on the anode. SEI formation and growth reaction occurs continuously between the lithiated graphite and the electrolyte solvent. In the meanwhile, the growing SEI layer gradually consumes lithium and causes cell impedance increase during cycling. In this study the electrolyte solvent mixture contains ethylene carbonate (EC). The SEI is considered to be made of \((\text{CH}_2\text{O}_2\text{Li})_2\), which has been shown to be a major component of the SEI porous layer [20–26]. The SEI formation process can be described by the following reactions [13].

\[
\text{EC} + e^- \rightarrow \text{EC}^- \quad \text{(1)}
\]

\[
\text{EC}^- + \text{EC}^- \rightarrow (\text{O}_2\text{CO})^- (\text{CH}_2)_2(\text{O}_2\text{CO})^- + \text{C}_2\text{H}_4\uparrow \quad \text{(2)}
\]

\[
\text{EC}^- + \text{EC} + e^- \rightarrow (\text{O}_2\text{CO})^- (\text{CH}_2)_2(\text{O}_2\text{CO})^- + \text{C}_2\text{H}_4\uparrow \quad \text{(3)}
\]

\[
(\text{O}_2\text{CO})^- (\text{CH}_2)_2(\text{O}_2\text{CO})^- + 2\text{Li}^+ \rightarrow \text{Li}^- (\text{O}_2\text{CO})^- (\text{CH}_2)_2(\text{O}_2\text{CO})^- \text{Li}^+ \quad \text{(4)}
\]

The double-layer capacity represents an additional mechanism to account for energy storage and the response to rapid current pulses. A modification to the regular current balance equations is needed to consider the charging of double layers in both anodes and cathodes [33,34]. The modification also appears in the mass balance equation for the electrolyte, since it includes the divergence of the current as a homogeneous source term. The thermal effect will be considered by a microscopic–macroscopic modeling approach.

2.1. 1D cell model

The charge conservation in the electrode and electrolyte phase can be described by

\[
\frac{\partial i_{\text{loc}}}{\partial t} = a_\text{i} \frac{\partial (\phi_1 - \phi_2 - U - R_{\text{SEI}1})}{\partial x} \quad \text{(5)}
\]

\[
i_2 = -\sigma_2^\text{eff} \frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial x} + \frac{2RT \sigma_2^\text{eff}}{F} \left(1 + \frac{d \ln f_2}{d \ln c_2}\right) \left(1 - \rho_1^0\right) \frac{\partial \ln c_2}{\partial x} \quad \text{(6)}
\]

\[
a_\text{floc} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\sigma_1^\text{eff} \phi_1\right) \quad \text{(7)}
\]

Equation (5) gives the intercalation current density in the porous electrode, where \(a_\text{i}\) is the active surface area per unit electrode volume and \(i_{\text{loc}}\) is the local current density. The first term on the right of Eq. (5) accounts for the divergence of the current flux in the electrolyte, where \(i_2\) is the current density in the electrolyte phase. The second term accounts for the extra current due to the double layer capacity effect, where \(C\) is the double layer capacitance, \(\phi_1\) is the electric potential of the solid phase, \(\phi_2\) is the electric potential of the liquid phase, \(U\) is the open-circuit potential that is dependent on the state of charge (SOC), and \(R_{\text{SEI}1}\) is the SEI layer resistance. In this study we focus on the SEI layer on the anode. The anode SEI resistance is estimated by \(R_{\text{SEI}} = \hat{b}(t) / \sigma_{\text{SEI}}\), where \(\hat{b}(t)\) is the SEI thickness at time \(t\) and \(\sigma_{\text{SEI}}\) is the SEI ionic conductivity. The cathode SEI resistance is assumed zero since it is much thinner. In Eq. (6) the first term accounts for the current flux due to conductivity, and the second term accounts for the effect of potential variation due to concentration variation of the salt in the electrolyte, where \(\sigma_2^\text{eff}\) is the effective conductivity of the electrolyte, \(F\) is the Faraday’s constant, \(R\) is the universal gas constant, \(T\) is temperature, \(f_2\) is the activity coefficient of salt in the electrolyte phase, \(c_2\) is the lithium concentration in the electrolyte, and \(\rho_1^0\) is the transference number of lithium ion with respect to the solvent. Equation (7) gives the intercalation current density calculated from the solid phase, where \(\sigma_1^\text{eff}\) is the effective conductivity of the electrode and the current density in the solid phase is

\[
i_1 = -\sigma_1^\text{eff} (\phi_1 / \partial x)\]

The material balance for the electrolyte in the solution phase is given by

\[
\frac{\partial (\text{dc}_1/\partial t)}{\partial t} = D_1 \left(\frac{\partial^2 \text{c}_1}{\partial r^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial \text{c}_1}{\partial r}\right) \quad \text{(9)}
\]

where \(c_1\) is the concentration of Li in the particle, \(r\) is the radial distance in the spherical coordinate and \(D_1\) is the diffusion coefficient of Li in the solid.

The solid and solution phases are related by the boundary condition,

\[
i_{\text{li}} = -FD_1 \frac{\partial \text{c}_1}{\partial r} \quad r = R_s \quad \text{(10)}
\]

where \(i_{\text{li}}\) is the current density of Li intercalation into or deintercalation from graphite and \(R_s\) is the radius of the active material particle.

The intercalation current at cathode can be described by the Bulter–Volmer equation,

\[
i_{\text{loc}} = i_1 = \frac{Fk_0 \text{c}_{1,\text{max}} - \text{c}_{1,s}}{\text{c}_{1,\text{max}} - \text{c}_{1,s}} \left\{ \exp \left[ \frac{(1 - \beta)F}{RT} (\phi_1 - \phi_2 - U) \right] - \exp \left[ -\frac{\beta F}{RT} (\phi_1 - \phi_2 - U) \right] \right\} \quad \text{(11)}
\]

where \(k_0\) is the rate coefficient for lithium intercalation reaction at cathode, \(\beta\) is the charge-transfer coefficient, \(c_{1,\text{max}}\) is the Li concentration on the surface of the active material particle, and \(c_{1,s}\) is the maximum possible Li concentration in the active material.

2.2. SEI growth modulus

Here we extend SEI growth on the surface of a single particle to a thermal-electrochemical 1D cell-level model. The electrolyte
solution is LiPF6 in EC/DMC. The compact SEI layer is assumed to be 1 nm thick ($L_{SEI}(0) = 1$ nm), which also accounts for an initial SEI layer resistance of $R_{SEI}(0) = 1$ $\Omega$ cm$^2$. The porous layer is assumed to grow above the compact layer. The dilute solution theory can be used to describe the flux of charged species in the SEI layer [37]. Using the Butler–Volmer equation to describe the lithium intercalation/deintercalation current in the active materials occurring at the interface between the graphite and the SEI layer, we have

$$i_L = Fk_0c_1^{\beta}(c_{1,max} - c_1)\left(1 - \frac{c_1}{c_{1,max}}\right)^{1-\beta}c_2^{\frac{1-\beta}{\rho}}\left(\exp\left[\frac{(1-\beta)F}{RT}(\phi_1 - \phi_2 - U - R_{SEI}\delta)ight] - \exp\left[-\frac{\beta F}{RT}(\phi_1 - \phi_2 - U - R_{SEI}\delta)\right]\right)$$

where $k_0$ is the rate coefficient for lithium intercalation reaction at anode and $\beta$ is the charge-transfer coefficient.

The rate determining step is considered to be the radical anion concentration/deintercalation reaction and side reaction, we can write the Tafel-like expression, and the kinetic expression for this side reaction is in the form of a

$$i_{side} = -FK_{SEI}c_{EC}\left(\exp\left[-\frac{\delta_{SEI}F}{RT}(\phi_1 - \phi_2 - R_{SEI}\delta)\right]\right)$$

where $k_{SEI}$ is the rate constant of side reaction for SEI formation, $c_{EC}$ is the solvent concentration in the SEI layer, and $\delta_{SEI}$ is the solvent concentration relative to the SEI. The growth rate of the SEI layer due to the accumulation of lithium ethylene dicarbonate is related to the side reaction rate by

$$\nu = \frac{d\delta}{dt} = i_{side} \frac{M_{SEI}}{2F\rho_{SEI}}$$

At the boundary between the SEI and the particle surface, the solvent flux matches the side reaction current, which gives

$$N_{EC}\big|_{r=R_s} = \frac{i_{side}}{F}$$

At the interface between the SEI and the solvent, the concentration is given by

$$c_{EC}\big|_{r=R_s+\delta} = \epsilon_{SEI}c_{EC,0}$$

where $\epsilon_{SEI}$ is the SEI porosity and $c_{EC,0}$ is the solvent concentration in the bulk of the electrolyte.

The boundary conditions for the cell level model are

$$\frac{\partial c_1}{\partial r}\big|_{r=0} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial c_1}{\partial r}\big|_{r=L} = \frac{i_L}{FD_1}, \quad \frac{\partial c_2}{\partial x}\big|_{x=0} = \frac{\partial c_2}{\partial x}\big|_{x=L} = 0$$

![Figure 2. Coupling of the models.](image-url)
Table 1
Values of parameters used in the simulations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Anode</th>
<th>Cathode</th>
<th>Separator</th>
<th>SEI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active surface area per unit electrode volume (m⁻¹), aᵀ证券交易</td>
<td>113040</td>
<td>111375</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell area (m²), A证券交易</td>
<td>2.4 × 10⁻³</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum solid phase Li concentration (mol m⁻³), c₁max证券交易</td>
<td>26390</td>
<td>22860</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial electrolyte salt concentration (mol m⁻³), cₛ(0)证券交易</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC solution phase concentration (mol m⁻³), cₑ(0)证券交易</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double-layer capacitance (F m⁻²), C证券交易</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat capacity (J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹), Cₚ证券交易</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid phase Li diffusion coefficient (m² s⁻¹), Dₛ证券交易</td>
<td>3.9 × 10⁻¹²</td>
<td>1 × 10⁻¹³</td>
<td>7.5 × 10⁻¹¹</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrolyte phase Li diffusion coefficient (m² s⁻¹), Dₑ证券交易</td>
<td>7.5 × 10⁻¹¹</td>
<td>7.5 × 10⁻¹¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective electrolyte phase Li diffusion coefficient (m² s⁻¹), Dₑeff证券交易</td>
<td>1 × 10⁻¹³</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activation energy for Li diffusion in solid phase (kJ mol⁻¹), Eₛ证券交易</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activation energy for Li diffusion in electrolyte phase (kJ mol⁻¹), Eₑ证券交易</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activation energy for exchange current density (kJ mol⁻¹), Eₑ𪟝 exchanged证券交易</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activation energy for electrolyte conductivity (kJ mol⁻¹), Eₑ sincerity证券交易</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrolyte activity coefficient, F证券交易</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faraday's constant (C mol⁻¹), F证券交易</td>
<td>96485.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat transfer coefficient (W m⁻² K⁻¹), h证券交易</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reaction rate coefficient (m⁻¹ s⁻¹ mol⁻¹), k证券交易</td>
<td>2 × 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>2 × 10⁻⁶</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEI reaction rate coefficient (m⁻¹), kₛ证券交易</td>
<td>1.37 × 10⁻⁸</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrode thickness (µm), L证券交易</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial SEI thickness (nm), Lₑ₀证券交易</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEI molar mass (kg mol⁻¹), Mₛ证券交易</td>
<td>0.162</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruggeman porosity exponent, p证券交易</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas constant (J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹), R证券交易</td>
<td>8.314</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle radius (µm), Rₛ证券交易</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEI initial resistance (Ω cm⁻²), Rₛ(0)证券交易</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li transference number, fₛ证券交易</td>
<td>0.363</td>
<td>0.363</td>
<td>0.363</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental temperature (K), T证券交易</td>
<td>298.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open circuit potential (V), U证券交易</td>
<td>U证券交易</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charge transfer coefficient, β证券交易</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEI charge transfer coefficient, βₛ证券交易</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid phase volume fraction, εₛ证券交易</td>
<td>0.471</td>
<td>0.297</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrolyte phase volume fraction, εₑ证券交易</td>
<td>0.357</td>
<td>0.444</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEI Porosity, ρₛ证券交易</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity (W m⁻¹ K⁻¹), λ证券交易</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass density (kg m⁻³), ρ证券交易</td>
<td>2.5 × 10⁶</td>
<td>1.5 × 10⁶</td>
<td>1.2 × 10⁶</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEI density (kg m⁻¹), ρₛ证券交易</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.69 × 10³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical conductivity of solid phase (S m⁻¹), σₑ证券交易</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid phase conductivity (S m⁻¹), σₑ证券交易</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective solid phase conductivity (S m⁻¹), σₑeff证券交易</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrolyte phase ionic conductivity (S m⁻¹), σₑ证券交易</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective electrolyte phase ionic conductivity (S m⁻¹), σₑeff证券交易</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrolyte phase diffusional conductivity (A m⁻¹), σₑdiff证券交易</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEI ionic conductivity (S m⁻¹), σₛe证券交易</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5 × 10⁻⁶</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Data from Ref. [41].

b Data from Ref. [12].

c Data from Ref. [34].

d Data from Ref. [39].

e Data from Ref. [17].

f Assumed.
\[ -\sigma_{\text{eff}} \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial x} \bigg|_{x=0} = \sigma_{\text{eff}} \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial x} \bigg|_{x=L} = \frac{I}{A} = \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial x} \bigg|_{x=L} = \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial x} \bigg|_{x=L+L_{\text{sep}}} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial x} \bigg|_{x=0} = \frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial x} \bigg|_{x=L} = 0 \]

(22)

where \( L \) is the thickness of the cell, \( I \) is the cell current, \( A \) is the cross-section area of the cell, \( L \) is the thickness of the anode, and \( L_{\text{sep}} \) is the thickness of the separator.

### 2.3. Thermal modulus

The thermal energy conservation can be expressed by

\[
\frac{\partial (\rho C_p T)}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \lambda \nabla T + q
\]

(23)

where \( \rho \) is the mass density, \( C_p \) is the heat capacity, and \( \lambda \) is the thermal conductivity of the cell component. The heat generation rate per unit volume, \( q \), can be expressed by Refs. [38,39],

\[
q = a_{\text{loc}} (\phi_1 - \phi_2 - U - R_{\text{SEI}} l_{\text{loc}} + T \frac{\partial U}{\partial T} + \sigma_{\text{eff}}^2 \langle \nabla \phi_1 \rangle)^2 + \sigma_{\text{eff}}^2 (\nabla \phi_2)^2 + \sigma_{\text{eff}} \ln c_2 \cdot \nabla \phi_2
\]

(24)

where \( \sigma_{\text{eff}}^2 \) is the effective solution phase diffusional conductivity. Here, the first term of the right-hand side represents the heat sources due to charge transfer at the electrode/electrolyte interfaces and the entropy change. The last two terms arise from the joule heating in the solid active and electrolyte phases, respectively. The boundary conditions are given at \( x = 0 \) and \( x = L \) by \(-\lambda \nabla T = h(T-T_0)\), where \( T_0 \) is the environmental temperature, and \( h \) is the heat transfer coefficient.

The temperature-dependent physicochemical properties are described by the Arrhenius equation,

\[
\Phi = \Phi_{\text{ref}} \exp \left[ \frac{E_{\text{act}}}{R} \left( \frac{1}{T_{\text{ref}}} - \frac{1}{T} \right) \right]
\]

(25)

where \( \Phi \) is a general variable that represents the diffusion coefficient of a species, the conductivity of the electrolyte, or the exchange current density of an electrode reaction, etc., with the subscript ref indicating the value at a reference temperature. \( E_{\text{act}} \) is the corresponding activation energy.

We developed our multi-scale and multi-physics model using the COMSOL Multiphysics 4.2a software. Fig. 2 shows the coupling among the components. The 1D porous electrode model is composed of the anode region (\( 0 \leq x \leq L \)), the separator region (\( L \leq x \leq L + L_{\text{sep}} \)), and the cathode region (\( L + L_{\text{sep}} \leq x \leq L \)). To couple the 1D model with the Li\(^+\) diffusion in the spherical solid particle, we add a vertical axis, \( r \), to form a pseudo 2D model. This vertical axis denotes the radial direction of the solid particles. In the two dimensional \((x, r)\) space, the Li\(^+\) diffusion coefficient in the \( x \) direction is set to several orders of magnitude lower than that in the \( r \) direction to accomplish the radial diffusion descried by Eq. (9).

The current flux calculated from the 1D model is projected to the lower \( r = R_s \) boundary in Fig. 2, to solve the Li\(^+\) concentration, \( c_i \), in the solid particle phase. The solved concentration is then projected back to the 1D model to provide the surface concentration of the solid particle phase. Similarly, the SEI growth is performed in the pseudo 2D configuration and then projected back to the 1D model to provide the resistance of the SEI layer.

### 3. Results and discussion

The values of the parameters used in the simulations are listed in Table 1. Our model has the transient feature and can provide the capability to evaluate SEI growth during charge and discharge cycles. An initial SEI layer thickness of 1 nm was assumed to account for the SEI compact layer. The compact layer was assumed to form during the first charge of the cell to a SOC of 56%. The porous SEI layer formed and grew outside the compact layer. The growth of the porous layer is very sensitive to the diffusion process and the side reaction rate. Fig. 3 shows the SEI layer growth and the increase in layer resistance during the first seven cycles at 1C charge/discharge rate. After the initial discharge process, the SEI layer grows by 3.8 nm. During the next charge and discharge cycles, the SEI layer grows by 2 nm and 0.6 nm, respectively. The growth continuously slows down during further cycling. After seven cycles the thickness of the SEI porous layer increases to around 9 nm while its resistance rises to 18 \( \Omega \) cm\(^2\). Our simulations suggest that the SEI porous layer grows quickly toward the equilibrium thickness. This trend agrees with the reports [9,13,17,40] showing that SEI growth tends to slow down due to possible difficulty in electrolyte solution diffusion or high electrical resistance resulted from the SEI layer. Fig. 3 also shows that the SEI layer grows faster during charge than during discharge, which is consistent with experimental observations [9]. The growth rate is determined by the electron flux through the SEI layer. During charge, the injection of electrons into the anode makes it easier to leave the graphite and react with electrolyte and lithium ions to form SEI. The difference of growth rate during charge and discharge may also be related to the temperature. Fig. 4

![Fig. 3. SEI layer growth and its resistance reach a stable state after 7 cycles at the charge/discharge rate of 1C. SEI layer grows faster during charge than during discharge.](image)

![Fig. 4. A higher C-rate leads to more temperature increase. At the same C-rate, the temperature during charge is a litter higher than that during discharge.](image)
shows that the temperature is slightly higher during charge than discharge, and the difference becomes more noticeable as the C-rate becomes larger. SEI grows faster at a higher temperature.

It is important to understand the relationship among diffusivity, side reaction rate, and SEI layer growth characteristics. When the diffusion coefficient is small, the system becomes diffusion-limited. Fig. 5 shows the SEI layer growth with different diffusion coefficients for the electrolyte in the SEI layer. With the diffusion coefficients increased by 2 orders of magnitude, which is consistent with the possible range reported in the literatures [13,41], the SEI layer grows 5 times as thick. With a lower diffusion coefficient, the SEI layer tends to reach its stable state faster but at a thinner thickness. For example, the SEI layer stops growth at cycle 2 and reaches a thickness of 4 nm with $D_{EC} = 0.1D_0$, where $D_0$ is the baseline value given in Table 1. If the diffusion coefficient rises by 2 orders of magnitude, the SEI layer reaches a stable state at around cycle 7 or 8 with a larger thickness of 20 nm. With a higher diffusion coefficient, the electrolyte solution penetrates through the SEI porous structure more easily to reach the reaction sites. Therefore, the SEI layer grows faster and thicker. The SEI layer growth also depends on its kinetic reaction. With a large diffusion coefficient, the system becomes more kinetics-limited. Fig. 6 shows the effect of side reaction rate constant on SEI growth. $k_0$ is the baseline value given in Table 1. The reaction rate can vary 2–3 orders of magnitude depending on the system [9,13]. With a faster reaction rate, the SEI layer grows faster and thicker, but takes a longer time to reach the stable thickness. The overall trend is that the SEI grows quickly in the first few cycles. The initial growth of SEI is kinetics-limited. The growth rate gradually slows down due to the rising diffusional resistance from the layer thickness, making the system shift toward diffusion-limited.

The performance of LIBs can be significantly influenced by temperature and the thermal behavior, which is also closely related to battery safety. While the thermal process can accelerate the electrochemical and chemical processes in LIBs, they also cause problems such as more internal resistance increase and capacity loss due to faster decomposition of the electrolyte and SEI growth. Fig. 7 shows the temperature effect on SEI growth. With a higher environmental temperature, the side reaction kinetics and diffusion accelerate, resulting in a thicker SEI layer. At 45 °C, the SEI thickness increases by more than 15% in the first 8 cycles comparing to that at room temperature. Previous studies have suggested that a higher temperature will accelerate the diffusion process [39,42]. Here we show quantitatively how temperature
affects the SEI growth. The resulting increase of internal resistance due to a thicker SEI leads to more ohmic and capacity loss, as shown in Fig. 8. We have investigated the increase of cell average temperature as a result of different boundary conditions, as shown in Fig. 9. With a higher thermal transfer coefficient, the increase in cell average temperature is negligible. However, as the cooling condition becomes worse, the cell temperature rises dramatically. As we have shown, higher temperature results in more ohmic loss and capacity loss. Therefore, temperature control is critical in cell performance. C-rate is another important parameter in determining SEI layer growth and cell performance. Fig. 10 shows the effect of C-rate on SEI growth. The SEI layer grows almost 4 times as thick when the C-rate is increased from 0.5C to 1.5C, which implies that the SEI layer growth is highly dependent on the current flow density inside the cell. The increase of internal resistance and capacity loss due to SEI growth will result in the degradation in cell performance. Fig. 11 shows the comparison of discharge profile at 1C with and without SEI growth. The SEI layer resistance increases to around 60 $\Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2$ after 10 cycles, which causes about 4% capacity loss. The voltage drop mainly comes from the increased cell internal resistance associated with the SEI growth. The SEI growth depends on electron current flow and lithium diffusion, which varies at different locations in the electrode. A cell-level SEI growth model can reveal position-dependent SEI growth, which can be used as guidance for the location of monitoring. Fig. 12 shows the SEI growth rate along the anode thickness direction. The SEI layer grows faster at the interface between the anode and the separator ($x = L_0$) than at the current collector side ($x = 0$). The non-uniform SEI growth can result in uneven resistance increase and degradation in the cell, which may result in temperature increase and even thermal runaway. Fig. 13 shows the capacity loss due to SEI growth. The capacity loss stabilizes when the SEI layer reaches its stable thickness. The SEI layer reaches its stable state fairly quickly due to the slowdown of the diffusion and kinetic reaction processes, which is consistent with several observations [15,40,43].

4. Conclusions

In this study a cell-level physics-based model has been developed to couple the SEI layer growth and the thermal effect. The model provides an effective tool to investigate and evaluate the effects of SEI layer growth and temperature increase on the performance of LIBs. Depending on the processes of diffusion and side reaction, the SEI layer grows quickly to the stable state in the first several cycles. The slowing down of the SEI layer growth can be attributed to the difficulty in the diffusion process. The SEI growth under charge and discharge conditions shows different rates due to the electron flux difference through the SEI layer and temperature change during cycling. The SEI growth rate shows position dependence in the cell since the current flow and lithium diffusion vary at different locations in the electrode. The SEI grows faster at the interface between the anode and the separator, which is the strategic location for battery health monitoring in the battery control algorithm. The effect of environmental temperature and C-rate on SEI layer growth has also been investigated in this study. In the future, we plan to expand the model to perform EIS simulation, and to couple additional degradation mechanisms, such as lithium plating and manganese deposition. The model framework can be used as an effective tool for designing and optimizing the cell performance.
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